2012-2015 Parks and Recreation Renewal Program

Playground vendor selection and implementation process 26-Feb-13

Bid, evaluation, design, and implementation process description for playground selection and implementation

ndor	Bidding documents	Package			Vendor submittal	"Best value" review and selection	"Best value" vendor(s) identified	Site specific standards, guidelines, process	"Best value" vendors prepare designs	Vendor-led neighborhood process	Playground implementation
te 1	note 2	note 3			note 4	note 5	note 6 and "best value" criteria below	note 7	note 8	note 9	note 10
	standards, guidelines, process, best value criteria	\$75k playground	\$125k playground	\$225k playground		criteria topics defined below		Design direction provided to selected vendor(s)	Responses prepared by vendor(s) using site specific standards, guidelines, process		
		Villa Park	Oasis Park	Central Park Victoria West							
endor 'A'		A1	A2	A3	Vendor 'A' submittal		Vendor 'A' Package		Vendor 'A' Design		Playground Package '
endor 'B'		B1	B2	В3	Vendor 'B' submittal		no selection				
endor 'C'		C1	C2	C3	Vendor 'C' submittal		Vendor 'C' Package		Vendor 'C' Design		Playground Package
endor 'D'		D1	D2	D3	Vendor 'D' submittal		no selection				

P	rnr	ess	n	nte

note 5

note 1	vendors express interest in participating in the city's "best value" proposal and implementation process
note 2	generic standards are developed by City of Roseville as the core of a request for bids; playgrounds are "pa

generic standards are developed by City of Roseville as the core of a request for bids; playgrounds are "packaged" in three price categories for bidding depending on year of implementation (see below), a generic package of improvements is described for bidding; allowances are made for site work, neighborhood process, and construction contingencies bidders submit one or more bid packages as a response to the city's request for bids note 3

note 4

city reviews bid packages using criteria identified in request for bids

"best value" vendors are identified through "best value" selection and award process; at least two vendors are selected to ensure diversity of playgrounds; see initial list of "best value" criteria below note 6

city develops site specific standards, guidelines, and process for vendor use in preparation of final designs note 7

"best value" vendors prepare designs; designs are reviewed by city staff to assure conformance with site specific standards, guidelines, and process note 8

note 9 "best value" vendors present designs to neighborhoods

note 10 accepted designs are implemented by "best value" vendors

	Central Park ballfields	\$ 75,000
	Materion Park	\$ 75,000
	Tamarack Park	\$ 75,000
Year Two play	grounds	
	Central Park Victoria West	\$ 225,000
	Howard Johnson Park	\$ 125,000
	Oasis Park	\$ 125,000
	Villa Park (upper)	\$ 75,000
Year Three pla	aygrounds	
	Acorn Park	\$ 125,000
	Bruce Russell Park	\$ 125,000
	Central Park Lexington	\$ 225,000
	Langton Lake Park (ballfields)	\$ 125,000
	Langton Lake Park/C2	\$ 75,000
	Mapleview Park	\$ 75,000
		\$

"Best value" criteria	Playground program			
components included	\$75,000 playground	park focus		
quality of play system		accommodates widest variety of ages		
design of play system		simple design		
other		maintenance by staff		
other		universal appeal for play (structure is readily understood)		
other		most "standard" experience of playground types		
other	\$125,000 playground	constellation focus		
other		play and component diversity within the playground		
diversity of play experiences		different playgrounds designs in each sector		
proposed neighborhood process		unique experience and structure		
	\$225,000 playground	sector focus (possibly regional focus)		
		destination playground		
		"huge" capacity		
		orientation to older children		
		"wow" factor		